

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/531,550	04/15/2005	Alain Soyer	09669/060001	09669/060001 1417	
22511 75	590 05/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
OSHA LIANG L.L.P.			KOYAMA, I	KOYAMA, KUMIKO C	
1221 MCKINNEY STREET SUITE 2800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON, TX 77010			2876		
			DATE MAILED, 05/17/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/531,550	SOYER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Kumiko C. Koyama	2876			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 April 2005 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to: See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0505</u>.</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/531,550

Art Unit: 2876

### DETAILED ACTION

Preliminary Amendment received on April 15, 2005 has been acknowledged.

## Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes improper language, such as "embodiments" and "is provided." The abstract should avoid using legal phraseology and phrases which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imataki et al (US 5,744,795) in view of Saito et al (US 4,754,319).

Re claims 1, 2 and 8: Imataki shows in Fig. 2(b), a card 201, which is a portable object, having a first side (the upper side with respect to Fig. 2(b)) and a second side (the lower side with respect to Fig. 2(b)). Imataki discloses that a concavity 301 is provided in the optical card as shown in Fig. 3(b). As shown in Fig. 3(b), the concavity is formed on the first side (the upper side with respect to Fig. 3(b)). The IC module 204 is bonded to the substrate 206 at the bottom of

the concavity 301 by means of an adhesive layer 209 (col 4, lines 6-10). The IC module is a first electronic information support.

Imataki fails to disclose a second electronic support or a second cavity.

Saito teaches an IC card having two IC chips 26 as shown in Fig. 1. Saito also discloses that the sheets of card is formed with two apertures 38 and apertures 22 that are large enough to receive the embedded IC chips 26 (col 4, lines 5-11). As shown in Fig. 2, the two chips 26 are aligned with each other, and the apertures for them are also aligned with each other. Saito also shows in Fig. 1 that the card is a right parallelepiped.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Saito the teachings of Imataki in order to reduce the number of cards by combining two cards having two IC chips into one card having two chips, and therefore, providing a multi-purpose chip card.

Re claims 3 and 9: As described above, Imataki discloses that the IC module 204 is bonded to the substrate 206 at the bottom of the concavity 301 by means of an adhesive layer 209 (col 4, lines 6-10). The IC module comprises an integrated circuit, and therefore, the integrated circuit is inserted into the module. Imataki also discloses that the IC module is provided for information storage means (col 1, lines 49-52).

4. Claims 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imataki in view of Saito as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fidalgo et al (US 6,448,638). The teachings of Imataki as modified by Saito have been discussed above.

Art Unit: 2876

Imataki as modified by Saito fails to teach that the first electronic information support is arranged to be detached from the portable object. Imataki also fails to teach a smartcard as defined in ISO 7816 and a second generation SIM card.

Fidalgo discloses that it is possible to simply transform the card, or a large card known as a SIM card, whose format is in accordance with the international standards GSM 11.11 and ISO 7816, into a standardized mini SIM card, whose dimensions are also defined by the international standard GSM 11.11, by detaching the latter from the card by breaking the lugs or connecting bridges.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the teachings of Fidalgo to the teachings of Imataki as modified by Saito in order to enable the user to use the chip with a card in one or other of two formats according to the use and convenience of the user, and therefore, being able to accommodate various card readers.

5. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imataki in view of Saito as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Huber et al (US 6,025,997). The teachings of Imataki as modified by Saito have been discussed above.

Imataki as modified by Saito fails to teach that the cavities are created by milling or molding.

Huber discloses that for incorporation of the chip module in the chip card, currently three different methods have become established, the laminating method, insertion into milled cavities, and mounting in ready injection-molded cards (col 2, lines 1-5).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Huber to the teachings of Imataki as modified by Saito because all of the three established methods securely, but safely attaches the IC chip or module into the card, and it is a matter of preferential choice as to which method is utilized.

### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lasch et al., U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0141373, discloses a transaction card with dual IC chips.

Luu, U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0213849, discloses a contactless transaction card having two IC chips.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kumiko C. Koyama whose telephone number is 571-272-2394. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,550

Art Unit: 2876

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kumiko C. Koyama

Kumiko C. Koyama

May 15, 2006

STEVEN S. PAIK PRIMARY EXAMINER